IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 552 OF 2006

Suryabali Sarju Yadav.] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Slum Rehabilitation Authority and 4 ors.]] .. Respondents

Mr. D.M. Manoharan for the petitioner.

Mr. J.G. Reddy for the respondent No.1.

Mr. S.S. Redkar for the respondent No.4.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 22ND MARCH, 2006

P.C. :

. The claim of the petitioner under the SRA Scheme was contested by respondent No.4 who claims to have purchased the property from respondent No.3. Petitioner claims to have purchased the property from one Motilal Yadav, the father of respondent No.3 now since deceased. After considering the material on record, the SRA has rejected the claim of the petitioner. This is purely a dispute between the petitioner on the one hand and the respondent No.4 on the other. It will be open to the petitioner, if so

advised, to prefer his claim against respondent No.4 in a competent Civil Court and that Civil Court, if it decides in favour of the petitioner, then respondent No.1 would accordingly have to take steps to include the name of the petitioner in Annexure II and grant him the rights if he is so entitled.

2. With the above directions, Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]